# NONPARAMETRIC REGRESSION AND WHITE NOISE MODEL

#### YAN LIU

# 1. Reference

Nussbaum (1996), AS. Brown and Low (1996), AS. Markus Reiss (2008), AS. Stone (1980), AS Stone (1982), AS. Yu and Jones (1998), JASA

# 2. NOTATIONS

1 $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}) \subset \mathbb{D}^{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathbb{D}$	a pair of random variables
1. $(\Lambda, I) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ 2. $\theta$	$E(Y X) = \theta(X)$
3. <i>k</i>	a nonnegative integer
4. Θ	the collection of $k$ -times continuously differentiable function $\theta$
5. $U$	an open interval containing $[0, 1]$
6. $p > 0$	p-times continuously differentiable on $U$
7. $\hat{\theta}_n$	estimator
8.  ·	the Euclidean norm of $\cdot$
9. $\#(\cdot)$	the number of elements in $\cdot$
10. $\delta_n$	a seq of positive constants which tend to 0
11. $\mathcal{N}_n(x)$	$= \{i : 1 \le i \le n \text{ and }  X_i - x  \le \delta_n\}$
12. $N_n(x)$	$=\sharp(\mathcal{N}_n(x))$
13. $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$	a compact subset having a nonempty interior
14. $q$	$\in (0,\infty]$
15. $\ \cdot\ _q$	the $L^q$ norm
16. $b_n$	a seq of eventually positive constants
17. J	an open interval
18. $t \in J$	an unknown real-valued mean parameter of the distribution
19. <u>α</u>	$=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d)$
20. $\left[\alpha\right]$	$= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$
21. $D^{\alpha}$	the differential operator

Date: December 2, 2014.

22. $T(\theta)$	a linear combination with constant coefficients of $D^{\alpha}\theta$ , $[\alpha] \leq k$
23. $q_{\alpha}$	real constants for $[\alpha] \leq k$
$24. \ Q$	$=\sum_{[\alpha]\leq k}q_{lpha}D^{lpha}$
25. <b>m</b>	the order of $Q$ , $m = \max([\alpha] : [\alpha] \le k \text{ and } q_{\alpha} \ne 0)$
26. $r$	= (p-m)/(2p+d)

#### 3. Concepts and definitions

3.1. Parameter space. Let  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and  $0 < K_2 < \infty$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta(x) \in J$ ,

$$|D^{\alpha}\theta(x) - D^{\alpha}\theta(x_0)| \le K_2 |x - x_0|^{\beta} \quad \text{for } x_0, x \in U \text{ and } [\alpha] = k.$$

Note that

 $p = k + \beta$ 

is a measure of the smoothness of the functions in  $\Theta$ .

# 3.2. Estimators.

3.2.1. response and variable.  $\theta$  is the regression function of the response Y on the measurable variable X.  $E(Y|X) = \theta(X)$ .

3.2.2. parametric. If  $\hat{\theta}_n \in \Theta$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , where  $\Theta$  is a collection of functions which are defined in terms of a finite number of unknown parameters.

3.2.3. nonparametric. Otherwise.

#### 3.3. Rate of convergece.

3.3.1. lower rate of convergence. If there is a c > 0 such that

$$\lim_{n} \inf_{\hat{T}_n} \sup_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(\|\hat{T}_n - T(\theta)\|_q \ge cb_n) = 1,$$

where the infimum is over all possible estimator  $\hat{T}_n$ .

3.3.2. achievable rate of convergence. If there is a sequence  $\{\hat{T}_n\}$  of estimators and a  $c_0$  such that

(3.1) [eq:2.2.1] 
$$\lim_{n} \sup_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(\|\hat{T}_{n} - T(\theta)\|_{q} \ge cb_{n}) = 0.$$

3.3.3. *optimal rate of convergence*. If it is both a lower and an achievable rate of convergence.

3.3.4. asymptotically optimal. If  $\{b_n\}$  is the optimal rate of convergence and  $\{\hat{T}_n\}$  satisfies (3.1), the estimators  $\hat{T}_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is said to be asymptotically optimal.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

# 3.4. Assumptions.

(asp:2.2.1) Assumption 3.1. Suppose  $l(y|x,t) = \log h(y|x,t)$ .

- (1) As a function of t, h is strictly positive and continuously differentiable.
- (2) The equation

$$\int h(y|x,t)\phi(dy) = 1$$

can be differentiated with respect to t to yield

$$\int h'(y|x,t)\phi(dy) = 0$$

and

$$\int h''(y|x,t)\phi(dy) = 0$$

(3) There are positive constants  $\epsilon_0$  and  $K_1$  and there is a function M(y|x,t) such that on the indicated domain

$$|l''(y|x,t+\epsilon)| \le M(y|x,t) \quad \text{for } |ep| \le ep_0$$

and

$$\int M(y|x,t)h(y|x,t)\phi(dy) \le K_1.$$

**Remark 3.2.** The condition is needed to verify that  $\{b_n\}$  is a lower convergence sequence with the assumption that C have a nonempty interior.

 $\langle asp:2.2.3 \rangle$  Assumption 3.3. For some s > 0,

$$\int e^{s|y-t|} h(y|x,t) \phi(dy)$$

is bounded for  $x \in U$  and  $t \in J$ .

**Remark 3.4.** The condition is required to verify that with compactness of C certain rates of convergence are achievable and certain estimators are asymptotically optimal.

(asp:2.2.5) Assumption 3.5. For every  $\lambda \in (0, 1/d)$  and c > 0, there is a c' > 0 such that

$$\lim_{n} P(\sharp\{i: 1 \le i \le n \text{ and } |X_i - x| \le cn^{-\lambda}\} \ge c'n^{1-\lambda d} \text{ for all } x \in U) = 1$$

**Remark 3.6.** The condition on the asymptotic distribution of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is required to guarantee achievability and asymptotic optimality.

If U is a polyhedron (polytope?), this condition is implied by the following one.

 $\langle asp:2.2.7 \rangle$  Assumption 3.7. The random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are the first *n* terms of an i.i.d. sequence of random variables each having distribution *F*, the density of whose absolutely continuous component is bounded away from 0 on *U*.

3.5. Results.

 $\langle \text{thm:2.2.8} \rangle$  Theorem 3.8. Under Assumptions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.5,

- If  $0 < q < \infty$ , then  $\{n^{-r}\}$  is the optimal rate of convergence;
- If  $q = \infty$ , then  $\{(n^{-1} \log n)^r\}$  is the optimal rate of convergence.

**Corollary 3.9.** Suppose d = 1. The estimators  $\hat{\theta}_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , are said to be asymptotically optimal if

$$n^{-2p/(2p+1)} \int_0^1 \{\hat{\theta}_n(x) - \theta(x)\}^2 dx$$

is bounded in probability as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Note that q = 2. As the statement of Theorem 3.8, we see that the optimal rate is  $n^{-r}$  where

$$r = \frac{p - m}{2p + d}$$

Here, m = 0 and d = 1 with  $L^2$  norm, the optimal rate is  $n^{-2p/(2p+1)}$ .

**Example 1** (Spiegelman and Sacks (1980)). Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be the kernel estimator.

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{N_n(x)} \sum_{\mathcal{N}_n(x)} Y_i.$$

- If p = 1 and δ<sub>n</sub> = n<sup>-1/3</sup>, then θ̂<sub>n</sub> is asymptotically optimal.
  If p = 2, δ<sub>n</sub> = n<sup>-1/5</sup> and f is absolutely continuous and f' is square integrable on U, then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is asymptotically optimal.

3.5.1. Without any smoothness assumption of f.

•  $\hat{P}_n(\cdot; x)$  the polynomial of degree p-1 which minimizes

$$\sum_{\mathcal{N}_n(x)} \{Y_i - \hat{P}_n(X_i; x)\}^2,$$

and then for bounded from zero and infinity f,

$$\hat{\theta}_n(x) = \hat{P}_n(x;x).$$

• Or without the assumption of boundedness of f if  $\hat{P}_n(\cdot; x)$  minimizes

$$\sum_{\mathcal{N}_n(x)} \frac{\{Y_i - P_n(X_i; x)\}}{W_n(X_i; x)}$$

where  $W_n(\cdot; x)$  is an appropriate positive weight function.

**Remark 3.10.**  $\{n^{-r}\}$  is also the optimal rate of convergence if  $\|\hat{T}_n - T(\theta)\|_q$  (as function) is replaced by  $|\hat{T}_n(x_0) - T(x_0;\theta)|$  (pointwise).

4

### 4. QUESTIONS

Suppose q = 2.

- Let Assumption 3.7 holds. Under which additional conditions on F, is  $\{n^{-r}\}$  an achievable rate of convergence?
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote either the collection of functions  $\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which are additive

$$\theta(x_1,\cdots,x_d)=\theta_1(x_1)+\cdots+\theta_d(x_d)$$

or the collection of the form

$$\theta(x_1,\cdots,x_d)=\psi(\beta_1x_1+\cdots+\beta_dx_d).$$

Suppose  $\Theta = \mathcal{A} \cap \Theta$  and set  $r_1 = (p-m)/(2p+1)$ . Is  $\{n^{-r_1}\}$  an achievable rate of convergence?

• Suppose that t is the median of the distribution instead of its mean. Is  $\{n^{-r}\}$  still an achievable rate of convergence?

#### 5. LOCAL LINEAR QUANTILE REGRESSION

a regression quantile as the minimizer of

$$E\{\rho_p(Y-\theta)|X=x\}$$

• The check function

$$\rho_p(z) = p z \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(z) - (1-p) z \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(z).$$

• Linear fitting

$$S_{n,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(\frac{x - X_j}{h_1})(x - X_i)^l, \quad l = 1, 2$$

• the wight function

$$\omega_j(x;h_1) = K(\frac{x - X_j}{h_1})[S_{n,2} - (x - X_j)S_{n,1}]$$

 $\bullet\,$  the double-kernel quantile estimator  $\tilde{q}_p$  to solve

$$p = \frac{1}{\sum_j \omega_j(x;h_1)} \sum_j \omega_j(x;h_1) \Omega(\frac{\tilde{q}_p(x) - Y_j}{h_2})$$

### 6. NOTATIONS

#### 6.1. nonparametric regression.

1.  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  a possibly infinite interval 2.  $f(\cdot) : I \to \mathbb{R}$ 3.  $\sigma^2(\cdot) : I \to (0, \infty)$ 4.  $H : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$  an increasing c.d.f. 5.  $(X_{ni}, Y_{ni}), i = 1, \dots, n$  observation

(6) deterministic

$$x_{ni} = H^{-1}(i/(n+1)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

(7) random

$$X_{ni} \sim \text{i.i.d.} H, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

(8) The conditional distribution of Y given X

$$Y_{ni} = f(x_{ni}) + \sigma(x_{ni})\epsilon_{ni}, \quad \epsilon \sim \text{i.i.d.}\mathcal{N}(0,1), i = 1, \dots, n$$

9.  $\Theta$  the parameter space consists of f

### 6.2. white noise.

(1)  $0 \in I$ 

2. 
$$\{B_t : t \in I\}$$
 Brownian motion

(3) the Gaussian process with

$$dZ_t^{(n)} = \mu(t)dt + \lambda(t)dB_t/\sqrt{n}$$
  
$$dY_t^{(n)} = \nu(t)dt + \lambda(t)dB_t/\sqrt{n}$$

(4)  $\mu \in \Theta$ 

5.  $g_X^{(n)}$  the probability density for X with respect any dominating measure  $\xi$ 

(6) the disparity  $L_1$ 

$$L_1 = \int |g_Y^{(n)}(\omega) - g_Z^{(n)}(\omega)|\xi(d\omega)|$$

Remark 6.1. Under the following additional conditions,

- (1) H absolutely continuous with t.
- (2) h = dH/dt is h > 0 a.e. on I.
- (3) Define

$$V_{\tau}^{(n)} = Z_{H^{-1}(\tau)}^{(n)}$$

Then,

$$dV_{\tau}^{(n)} = \mu^*(\tau)d\tau + \lambda^*(\tau)dB_{\tau}/\sqrt{n},$$

where

$$\mu^*(\tau) = \frac{\mu(H^{-1}(\tau))}{h(H^{-1}(\tau))}, \quad \lambda^{*2}(\tau) = \frac{\lambda^2(H^{-1}(\tau))}{h(H^{-1}(\tau))}$$

As a result, from Remark 2.1 and 2.1.1. and 2.1.3., we can without loss of generality assume

$$I = [0, 1].$$

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

# 6.3. statistical equivalence.

1. $\mathscr{P}^{(1)}, \mathscr{P}^{(2)}$	two statistical problems
2. $\mathscr{X}^{(1)},  \mathscr{X}^{(2)}$	two sample spaces
3. $\{G_{\Theta}^{(i)}: \theta \in \Theta\}$	the respective families of distributions
4. $\mathcal{A}$	action space
5. $L: \Theta \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty)$	loss function
6. $\delta^{(i)}$	generic symbol for a decision procedure in $i$ th problem
7. $R^{(i)}(\delta^{(i)}, L, \theta)$	The risk from using procedure

(7)

$$||L|| = \sup\{L(\Theta, \alpha) : \theta \in \Theta, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

**Definition 6.2** (Le Cam's metric).

Definition 6.3 (Asymptotically equivalent).

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\theta} \sup_{L: \|L\| = 1} |R^{(1)}(\delta_n^{(1)}, L, \theta) - R^{(2)}(\delta_n^{(2)}, L, \theta)| = 0.$$

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $\mathscr{X}$  and its  $\sigma$ -field be a Polish space with its associated Borel field. Let  $\mathscr{P}^{(1)}$  denote an experiment with sample space  $\mathscr{X}$ . Let  $S : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Y}$  be a sufficient statistic and let  $\mathscr{P}^{(2)}$  denote the experiment in which Y = S(X) is observed. Then  $\Delta(\mathscr{P}^{(1)}, \mathscr{P}^{(2)}) = 0$ .

# Theorem 6.5.

$$|R^{(1)}(\delta^{(1)}, L, \theta) - R^{(2)}(\delta^{(2)}, L, \theta)| \le L_1(\mathscr{P}^{(1)}, \mathscr{P}^{(2)}) ||L||$$

Define the Hellinger metric  $H(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)})$  is defined by

$$H^{2}(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)}) = \int (g^{(1)}(x)^{1/2} - g^{(2)}(x)^{1/2})^{2} \xi(dx).$$

# Lemma 6.6.

$$L_1(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)}) \le 2H(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)}).$$

Also, for product measures,

$$H^{2}(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)}) = 2\left[1 - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[1 - \frac{H^{2}(G^{(1)}, G^{(2)})}{2}\right]\right]$$

For two normal distributions,

$$H^{2}(\mathcal{N}(\mu_{1},\sigma_{1}^{2}),\mathcal{N}(\mu_{2},\sigma_{2}^{2})) = 2\left[1 - \left[\frac{2\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2}}\right]^{1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})^{2}}{4(\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2})}\right]\right].$$

For two multivariate normal distributions with the same mean satisfies

$$H^{2}(\mathcal{N}(\mu), \alpha Q), N(\mu, \alpha \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}) \leq 2 \| \mathbf{Q} - \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2}, \quad \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \alpha > 0$$

#### Remark 6.7.

$$||f - \mathscr{P}_n f||_{L^2}^2 = ||f - P_n f||_{L^2}^2 + ||P_n f - \mathscr{P}_n f||_{L^2}^2,$$

which shows the distance by classical distance of the Le Cam and the distance caused by the relation between the dimension and wavelets. Or equivalently, canceling the distance can be regarded as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (Ey_j - Ez_j)^2 + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty (Ez_j)^2 \right) = 0.$$

7. WAVELETS

# 7.1. classification.

**Isometric approximation:** the Fourier approximation, the Haar wavelet **Isomorphic approximation:** B-spline

#### 7.2. Isometric approximation. Define

$$\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{S,per}}(s,R) = \Big\{ f \in L^2([0,1]^d) \Big| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |l|_{\infty}^{2s} |\langle f, \varphi_l \rangle|_{L^2}^2 \le R^2 \Big\}.$$

Suppose

$$Z := (D_n | s_n)^{-1} Y.$$

**Theorem 7.1** (Reiss (2008)). For d-dimensional periodic Sobolev classes  $\mathcal{F}^d_{S,per}(s, R)$  with regularity s > d/2 and equidistant design on the cube  $[0, 1]^d$ , the nonparametric regression experiment  $\mathbb{E}^d_n$  and the Gaussian shift experiment  $\mathbb{G}^d_n$  are asymptotically equivalent as  $n \to \infty$ . The Le Cam distance satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{S},\mathrm{per}}(s,R)}(\mathbb{E}^d_n,\mathbb{G}^d_n) \lesssim \sigma^{-1} R n^{1/2-s/d}.$$

### 7.3. Isomorphic approximation. Define

$$\mathcal{F}_{S}^{d}(s,R) = \Big\{ f \in H^{s}([0,1]^{d}) \Big| \|f\|_{H^{s}} \le R \Big\},\$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$  denotes the standard  $L^2$ -Sobolev norm of regularity s on  $[0,1]^d$ .

**Theorem 7.2** (Reiss (2008)). For general d-dimensional Sobolev classes  $\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathrm{S}}(s, R)$  with regularity s > d/2 and equidistant design on the cube  $[0,1]^d$ , the nonparametric regression experiment  $\mathbb{E}^d_n$  and the Gaussian white noise experiment  $\mathbb{G}^d_n$  are asymptotically equivalent as  $n \to \infty$ . The Le Cam distance satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}^d_{\mathcal{S}}(s,R)}(\mathbb{E}^d_n,\mathbb{G}^d_n) \lesssim \sigma^{-1} R n^{1/2-s/d}$$

7.4. Random design. Suppose

$$Z_r := \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \langle Y, \varphi_j^n \rangle_n \varphi_j^n + \sum_{j=n_0+1}^n \langle Y, \varphi_j^n \rangle_n \varphi_j.$$

**Theorem 7.3** (Reiss (2008)). For d-dimensional periodic Sobolev classes  $\mathcal{F}^d_{S,per}(s, R)$  with regularity s > d/2, the nonparametric regression experiment  $\mathbb{E}^d_{n,r}$  with random design and the Gaussian shift experiment  $\mathbb{G}^d_n$  are asymptotically equivalent as  $n_0, n \to \infty$  and  $n_0 = o(n^{1/2})$ . The Le Cam distance satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}^{d}_{\mathrm{S,per}}(s,R)}(\mathbb{E}^{d}_{n,r},\mathbb{G}^{d}_{n}) \lesssim n^{-1/2}n_{0} + \sigma^{-1}Rn_{0}^{1/2-s/d}.$$

#### 8. WORDS

### 9. Further Reading

9.1. nonparametric regression. For estimating the whole function, see Naussbaum (1985), Speckman (1985), Donoho, Liu and MacGibbon (1990) and Golubev and Nussbaum (1990).

For estimating a point functional such as  $f(x_0)$ , see Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1982), Donoho and Liu (1991) and Donoho and Low (1992).

For estimating a nonlinear functional in nonparametric regression (and white noise model), see Donoho and Nussbaum (1990).

The minimax sequence based on the regression model is found in Golubev (1987, 1991). For the problem of estimating a linear functional in regression (and white noise), see Lepskii (1991).

The examples of estimating optimal, possibly random, bandwidths in the regression (and also white-noise) are given in Hall and Johnstone (1992).

9.2. white noise model. For estimating the whole function, see Pinsker (1980). For estimating a point functional such as  $f(x_0)$ , see Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1984). For estimating a nonlinear functional in white noise model, see Fan (1991b).

For estimating the whole function based on indirect observations, see Fan (1991a).

The minimax sequence based on the white noise model is found in Efroimovich and Pinsker (1984).

10